

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW
120 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 2550
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94104-4303
TELEPHONE 415-281-2024
FACSIMILE 415-281-2010

David A. Tartaglio (State Bar No. 117232)
d.tartaglio@mpglaw.com
Catherine M. Lee (State Bar No. 197197)
c.lee@mpglaw.com

Attorneys for Defendants THE AMERICAN
SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE
MEDICINE and SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

OPTIONS NATIONAL FERTILITY
REGISTRY, a California Corporation, and
JESSICA and class of plaintiffs believed to be
similarly situated (women egg donors whose
eggs were allegedly given to unknown and
unauthorized recipients via "egg sharing"
without their informed consent, in violation of
an existing legally binding contract),

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR
REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE; SOCIETY
FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE
TECHNOLOGY; DOES 1 through 102
(REGISTERED INFERTILITY
PHYSICIANS) AND DOES 103 through 1500
(FERTILITY CLINICS AND ASSOCIATED
PROFESSIONAL DEFENDANTS),

Defendants.

Case No. C 07 5238 JF

Complaint Filed: October 12, 2007

**NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION OF
DEFENDANTS AMERICAN SOCIETY
OF REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE AND
SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY TO
DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT
FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER
JURISDICTION (FRCP 12(b)(1)) OR
ALTERNATIVELY, MOTION TO
DISMISS FIRST, SECOND, AND THIRD
CAUSES OF ACTION FOR FAILURE TO
STATE A CLAIM (FRCP 12(b)(6)) and
MOTION TO DISMISS CLASS CLAIMS
(FRCP 12(b)(6)) OR STRIKE CLASS
ALLEGATIONS (FRCP 12(f))**

Date: July 11, 2008
Time: 9:00 a.m.
Ct. No.: 3

Trial Date: None.

585582.1

**NOT. OF MOTION & MOTION OF DEFS. ASRM & SART TO DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT FOR
LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURIS OR ALT., MOT. TO DISMISS 1st, 2nd & 3rd C/A FOR FAILURE
TO STATE A CLAIM and MOT. TO DISMISS CLASS CLAIMS OR STRIKE CLASS ALLEGATIONS**

1 TO PLAINTIFF AND ITS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

2 NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that on July 11, 2008, at 9:00 a.m., or as soon
 3 thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Courtroom 3 of this court, located at the 280 S. First
 4 Street, San Jose, California 95113, defendants The American Society for Reproductive Medicine
 5 and the Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology will and hereby does move the court to
 6 dismiss plaintiff's Complaint in its entirety for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, pursuant to
 7 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1) *or, in the alternative*, moves the court to (1) dismiss or
 8 strike the portion of the first claim for breach of oral contract and dismiss the second claim for
 9 intentional interference with contractual relations because the claims fail to state a claim upon
 10 which relief can be granted, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) and 12(f); (2)
 11 dismiss the third claim for fraud because it does not plead fraud with sufficient particularity as
 12 required by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 9(b) and fails to state a claim upon which relief can
 13 be granted, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6); and (3) dismiss the class action
 14 claim for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted, pursuant to Federal Rules of
 15 Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) or strike the class action allegations because the Complaint fails to plead
 16 the requirements set forth in Rule 23, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(f).

17 The allegations in the Complaint that are the subject of the motions to strike are as
 18 follows:

19 1. Complaint 5:7 –

20 “and oral”

21 2. Complaint 2:9-13 –

22 “2. Plaintiffs (intentionally omitted) JESSICA and class
 23 of plaintiffs believed to be similarly situated is a group of women
 24 egg donors whose eggs were allegedly given to unknown and
 25 unauthorized recipients via an industry-wide practice allegedly
 known as “egg sharing” without their informed consent, and in
 violation of an existing legally binding contract.”

26 This motion will be based upon this Notice of Motion and Motion, on the
 27 Memorandum of Points and Authorities, Declaration of Nancy Frankel, Request for Judicial

1 Notice, on the pleadings and papers filed herein, and on such other and further matters as the court
2 may deem just and proper at the hearing.

3

4 DATED: May 9, 2008

MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP

5

6

By: 

Catherine M. Lee
Attorneys for Defendants THE AMERICAN
SOCIETY FOR REPRODUCTIVE
MEDICINE and SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED
REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28